G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1923. ( ) **File No.** 294-23

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.
Dis 513-25-(1-4)
Drawing 2 & 5 = 43.1.2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January 1925

SUBJECT

Submits the reports re the ~~Survey~~ recovery of S.Dst, Arms Powder in Kadalgere ~~Tobacco~~ Village, French Rocks Sub Taluq — endorses to Spl Assistant Commissioner at Chelkere.

Dis-974 dated 7/1/25 — To the Dy Comr.

Requests to take necessary action to file a suit.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Inezrai

NOTES.

File No. 296/1923.

FILE HEADING.

Arrears due from S. no. 177 known as "the garden" in Kadalgeri Village, Jr. Rocks Sub Taluk

From

The Inezrai Com^{r}

C. No. 1083 Dated 5. 5. 24 Received 7. 5. 24

Diary No.	Enclos 1324	Spare Copies -	Serial No. 1
2171			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that arrears are due to the temple from 1888-9, that under Sec. 19 of the Inez. Regn of 1913, arrears due to temples will have to be recovered as "Revenue Demand", and

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Solicits orders in the matter of the recovery of dues that accrued prior to the passing of the Inezra Regulation i.e. 1913.

The Inez. Comr's letter explains the

NOTES—First Sheet.

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 964 of 1925

Serial No.

facts of the case.

All possible search has been made & it is not possible to trace out any standing Muzrai order relative to the recovery of ~~temple~~ arrears from temple lands prior to 1913. The Rev. Br. may perhaps be consulted.

Submitted.
AL 22/5/24
20 S. 24

Kindly see (1) the reports in the Deputy Collector's & the Muzrai's letters; note since (2) how long the land has been in the enjoyment of the temple, whether the Khata stands in the name of the temple. Get it (3) mutated in the name of the Muzrai also. Can (4) the land be resumed? (5) Collect from the hands of the present lessees?

B. S. M.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192

Serial No

(1) Attended to.

(2) Information is not available in the records.

(3) It is reported that the Khata is in the name of the temple.

(4) In the Peshkar's report no 640 Dy 8-2-1911, it is stated that the land was sarvamanya to the temple for a number of years and that during the Inam Settlement of 1877-8, it was removed from inamti head, and the Patta made out in the name of the temple - In the Dy. Surveyor's Report Dy 25.6.19, it is stated that the land is inam to the temple - may an extract from inam register be called for?

(5) A copy of Muz. Regn is submitted.

(6) This will be attended to after ascertaining if this is an inam land.

Submitted. [illegible] Extract from inam register may be called for

14.7.1922

14.7.22

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPS—3750—1-8-22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No [illegible] of 192 --

Serial No	
	DO. Subject-
	17.7.1924 27/7/24
	Issue 29.7.24.
2	To the Inam Supdt. Rs. 136 d. 22.7.24. Request him to forward per return an extract from the Inam Register pertaining to the resumptions of Y. No. 177 in Kadalgeri village Gandikota Rocks Gut- Taluk, said to have been resumed as Inam to the temple [illegible]
3.	From, the Inam Supdt. Letter no. C 47 Dy 29.7.24. Forward a copy of the resumed Inam register pertaining to the temple lands From the Inam register, it is seen that the land is intended for the garden of Sri Narayana Swami and was ordered to be resumed and fully assessed.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561 -GPB—3750—1-8-22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 294 of 1923

Serial No.

as it was invalid under the Rules. The Local authorities however, think that this is an inam land.

7/

15 As the land is not inam to the instn, it is doubtful whether the land can be taken away from the hands of the present lessees

Submr. for orders.

31/7 31.7.24

15 Mean bis up. ~~with this~~ Put up the Bokkahalli Case

8/8.24.

waited upon the DC&B Secretary AP 6/8/24

16 Bokkahalli case is limited

Submr. 7.8.24

AP 7.8.24

17 The facts of this case are contained in the Muz. Commr's letter under reply. The point raised by the Muzrai Commr for orders is the procedure to be adopted in the recovery of this amount due within the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB- 9750—1-8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 19

Serial No.

from certain people in possession of the land that accrued prior to the passing of the New Regulation. The contention of the decree is that after the revision of the assessment in 1888-89 Fasli 79-1 to Rs 15-15-0, the Kandayam was collected by the Dharmakarta direct, which is much more than the fixed amount viz Rs 10-14-9, and that ~~nothing is due~~ no amount is due from them to the temple. The contention of the Dy Court is that the temple was obliged to a surplus of Rs 3-5-8 till the revision and that amount, being over and above the assessment of the land, is still due to the temple from that year. The question therefore, depends upon the interpretation to be put upon the ~~term~~ ~~original terms~~ Sanadi chit- granted to Maruvan Kanakalli Dharmakarta the original ~~tenant~~ grantee, from whom others have derived their title. The original Sanadi chit is not available. But from the extracts from the Jamabandi Register (of T. and R) it would appear that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 7961 of 192 3

Serial No.

person in possession of the land shall pay the sum of Rs 3-5-8 to the temple, irrespective of the assessment fixed on the land. If he was not prepared to pay it, he might resign. It seems therefore that the conclusion of the alienee cannot hold good. It is also to be stated that the alienee had no business to pay the Kandayam direct to the Shanbhogue, when he is bound to the land under the temple. As regards the mode of recovery, there seems to be no objection for the recovery of the sums as an arrear of land revenue, as it is a rent due to the temple, not based on a legal contract, but on a Sapratichit.

16 : Another point that may perhaps be considered is whether the land in the interest of the temple, the land should not be resumed to the temple from the present alienees, to whom it has wrongly passed, probably on the misrepresentation of Shamanna that he was the sole possessor thereof; or in the alternative they may be compelled to pay a higher fair amount hereafter, if ever in the usual course.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—6759—1.22

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 214 of 19 23

Serial No.

The temple is ~~at~~ ~~present~~ according to the present arrangement Rs 3-5-8 only, which is practically nothing and the allenies are enjoying the ~~land~~ temple land for nothing. This land is just like the land of the Kalleswara temple at Bekkahalli (the subject of the twelve file) in that it was originally an Inam land, but fully assessed at the time of Inam Settlement, the Khata continuing in the name of the temple, and a similar action may possibly be ~~taken~~ taken in this case also, after the present arrangement to pay such higher lease amount as may be fixed by the Board according to the present circumstances ~~in~~ ~~cases~~ and if they refuse to pay, ~~they may be~~ evicted from the land (after the right of lease being sold by public auction as per rules.

11.8.23

Rev - Secy. The land belongs to the temple and is only given on a lease - for a rent from which consists of the assessment plus 3-5-8 of the land which is held by the tenant, it may be renewed on an annual basis

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 294 of 19 23

Serial No.

[illegible] Rangamuniya ordered in 1894 & this has to be renewed [illegible] As the thana is over 44 years old, it does not seem to be necessary to disturb the terms of the lease by [illegible] the renewal

388/1888

[illegible]

(i) Where are the exact terms of the Dist Mag's order of 1880 regarding the lease of the land. (ii) Was the area fixed as 28 10/14/8 in the original lease & the assessment ; or was it fixed as the assessment [illegible] per bigha in the lease.

(iii) Is the original order of the [illegible] officer as to [illegible]

Submitted.

(i) and (3)

The original order of 1880 of the Dist. Mag. Officer is not available in the records Diary Extract of the Jalukbari order no. 939 dt 798

21-12-18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

is available in the Govt. records. This order says that as prayed for by Darkastdar Maranaikkarkatti Shanmuna, the temple land known as "Ane Mottai" which had been leased out to him for one year, might be given out on Kayam-kandaya in conformity with the rates fixed for the adjoining wet & dry lands.

(ii) From the Diary extract of the order (Camp) no. 26 dt. 27.4.1890, it is seen that the Pattadar ~~was paying Kandaya viz Rs 7-9-1 and also~~ rent of ~~Rs~~ 10-14-8 (rather 10-14-9) represents both assessment ~~and~~ (Rs 7-9-1) the amount payable to the temple. (Rs 3-5-8).

may the Muz. Commr be asked to send the orgl order of 1880 and definite information on point no (ii)

Submitted for orders

AV 25/8/22. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

R. S. III·2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 294 of 192—3

Serial No.

Refer is invited to portions of the notes in pages 6 & 7.

28 Sd

663 / 28.8

2 nd December

25) The order of 1890 referred to above is of no use in deciding the question as it was one passed after the dispute arose, long after the temple was founded. We have to be guided by the original order according to which the land was given on permanent lease. It is to the registered lease that we must look and the terms of that original grant, [illegible] provide for the payment of Rs 3/57 to the temple which may be increased to Rs 27/9/- on assessment. We are [illegible] in observing that even

Even now. But if the original lease provides for the payment of an annual rent of Rs 10/44/8 to the temple subject to enhancement on assessment; it does not follow that the temple can claim an increase in the rental on the ground that the assessment of the land has been raised (4 annas in the rupee) during the Sarkar. Sarkar.

I am inclined to think that the claim will be untenable, may not succeed in a court of law.

26) Now even assuming that the original lease provides for payment to the temple of an annual rental of Rs 3/57 as and when the assessment for the time being, the question

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPB- 3750—1-8-22

S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192

Serial No.

arrears as in whether

i) the claim for the year has not become time barred, in view of S.25 that it has not been received for the past 3 years

ii) if in which claim has not become time barred, the whether it can receive the arrears for the whole period or any for such period as may be prescribed under the law & constitution & in all cases for which such person, etc.

27) The ~~Rev Com~~ has referred in the ~~letter~~ in the case of the two days arrears in which the arrears & rent due to the ~~mutt~~ institution can be recovered as a land due arrears. ~~State that decision~~ provides for any for the mode & means & do not ~~lease if~~ in the arrears to ~~municipal~~ ~~Joint~~ - & the law & constitution prescribes in person whose such arrears the claim recovery

28) As the power vested in the ~~Com~~ in various questions & law about which ~~Joint~~ the Govt may be seen & in ~~L~~, & seeks for some of the opinion on the points raised above

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561-GPB-3750-1 8 22

d & Secy

R. S. III-2]

WD 2310—GPE—10,000—31 6 24

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

C. 34 - 2h
Land

29) [illegible]

Revised with Compts
11 Rec'd
28/1.10

27-9
30.9.41.

30) I see that the A.D.C. has no power to lease out land permanently. That a suit by the Lessor filed for the Eviction of the person in occupation of land.

31) With regard to the removal of crops and trees standing on the land by the lessee, the A.D.C. seems not given any definite opinion.

32) The Revenue Court may make order why a suit should not be filed for the recovery of the land as suggested by the A.D.C., whether the expenses of the suit can be met from the funds at the credit of the Lessee

33) Draft be submitted for my approval

1/10

2/10

2.10.41.

34) D.O. letter to Mr. C. Sankaran

NOTE—Contn sheet

14

35)

453/29 10

7 10 24

29 10 24.

36)

37)

3 11 24.

R. S. III-2]

WD 2510—GPP—10,000—31 5·24

General and Revenue Secretariat

Muz. File No. 294 of 1923.

Serial No.	
3	To the Munsarim Yamin M. dt 7.11.24. states that as the D.C. Muzaffarnagar officer had no power to issue out the land permanent by a suit may be filed for the recovery of the land & the eviction of the present occupants Muzaffarnagar and requests him to favour us with his opinion in the matter.
Sup 4.	From the Muz Engr Letter no. e-1083 dt 26/11/24. Reports that it is desirable to file a suit as proposed for the recovery of the land along with the arrears claimable, and requests sanction for filing the suit after ascertaining the fair rents.
	38) Submit for orders) The Muz. Engr proposes 28.11.24. that the cost of the contemplated suit may be met from temple funds. 28.11.24
	39) Orders with regard to members orders on the previous page 5.12.24
2.12.24	2nd member)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

222-16 Mung 256-23

773

40) [illegible]

41) Def for issue

A/7 5-1-25 B/f 5-1-25

42) Issue D 5-1-25.

To the Mung. Commrs. No. 974 d. 7.1.25. Ok. 5
Requests him to take necy action for filing a suit as proposed by him for the recovery of the said land along with the arrears claimable, the charges being met from the funds at the credit of the instn.
To the Comptroller. No. 975 d. 7.1.25.
7 ds copy of the above for instn.

MUZRAI

OFFICE OF THE ~~REVENUE~~ COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

No. C 1083/23-24
5-5-24.

Bangalore, 2nd M A Y 1 9 2 4

FROM

Muz-294-23-1.



K. C H A N D U ~~S~~ Esq., B.A.
~~Revenue~~ Commissioner in Mysore.
MUZRAI



To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq, B.A.
~~The~~ Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honor to state that S.No.177(maji No.160 assessed at Rs.7-9-1) known as "Ane garden" in Kadalgeri village in the French Rocks Sub Taluk was in the enjoyment of Sree Narayanaswami temple at Melkote. In the year 1880, this land was leased to one Maranaikanahalli Shamanna as a temporary measure to begin with and subsequently made permanent by the District Muzrai Officer for an annual payment of a sum of Rs.10-14-9 fixed at the then prevailing wet and dry rates in the neighbourhood. No separate lease deed seems to have been obtained from the lessee. Out of the lease amount the temple was in receipt of Rs.3-5-8 till 1888-89 after meeting the Government dues on the land.

2. The lessee sub-let a portion of the land to one Kannappar Tirunaraiengar and the remaining portion was sold in satisfaction of a Civil Court decree against him to one Basaviah who sold it to Linga Chari from whom Javare Gowda, husband of Puttamma the present holder purchased it. The portion sub-let to Tirunarayaniengar is also stated to have been subjected to a Civil Court sale and purchased by one Rangadhama Singlachar in 1913.

R. C. 4

From

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Department.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

3. During Survey Settlement in the year 1888-89 the assessment on the land was raised from Rs.7-9-1 to 15-15-0. The holder of the land who obtained his title from the purchaser in the Civil Court sale refused to pay the amount due to the temple on the ground that the assessment on the land was enhanced and the shanbhogue collected the Government dues on the land from the holder of the land direct without giving any notice to the temple authorities. The sum of Rs.3-5-8 due to the temple from Javare Gowda and Tirunarayaniengar at Rs.2-2-0 and 1-3-8 respectively remained unpaid from 1889-90.

Puttamma
Aq.A,

4. Correspondence for the recovery of temple dues started in the year 1893, and in 1894 the then Deputy Commissioner Mr.A.Rangaswamiengar ordered its recovery as a Revenue arrear. On 5th January 1911 Puttamma having complained to the Revenue Commissioner, he forwarded her petition to the Deputy Commissioner for report and directed not to take coercive measures, pending disposal of the petition.

5. The final orders of the Sub Division Officer for the recovery of arrears due to the temple in accordance with section 19 of the Muzrai Regulations of 1913 was not given effect in pursuance of the stay-order referred to above and the Deputy Commissioner submitted his report in the matter to the Revenue Commissioneron 10th October 1917(vide copy enclosed) on which certain additional information was called for and the same has been furnished in the Deputy Commissioner's No.22/17-18 dated 1st April 1924 (copy of which is also enclosed.

6. The point at issue is the recovery of the arrears of revenue due to the temple which is outstanding since the year 1888-89. Section 19 of the Muzrai Regulation of 1913 lays down that the arrears of rent due to temples have to be recovered by Revenue Officers as revenue due to Government. As the rules are not clear as to the recovery of dues that accrued prior to the passing of the Muzrai Regulation, orders are solicited in the matter.

The entire correspondence received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.ROC.22/17-18 dated the 1st April 1924 in the matter, is submitted herewith for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
For Muzrai Commissioner,
(Absent from station.)

PSK

M.A.N.

Letter No.O.C/22 of 17-18 dated 10th October 1917 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your official Memorandum No.6857 dated the 5th January 1911 forwarding for report petition from Puttamma wife of Javara gowda of Kadalgeri village French Rocks Sub Taluk complaining that arrears of assessment on S.No.177 of the village is demanded from her etc., I have the honor to state as follows:--

1. Land Maji No.160 with a beriz of 17 kolagas and 2½ manas -- situated in Kadalgeri village Melkote Hobli French Rocks Sub Taluk was in the enjoyment of the Sri Narainswami Temple at Melkote. This was known as "Ane Garden". At the time of Inam settlement this land was confirmed in the name of the temple, and an assessment of Rs.7-9-1 was fixed. In the year 1880 this land was leased out for cultivation to one Maranaikanahalli Shamannah as per orders of the Muzrai Officer on condition of his paying the assessment of Rs.10-14-8 was being recovered from the lessee. The lessee Shamanna --- subsequently sublet a portion of this land to one Kannapan Tirunarain-Iyengar. This continued till the year 1888-89 when survey and --- settlement was introduced when the assessment on the land was raised from 7-9-1 to Rs.15-15-0 as detailed in the*margin. In the meanwhile

	A.G.	Assessment.
S.No.177 dry	2-6	2 0 0
Garden	1-6	3 8 0
Wet	1-37	9 8 0
	5-9	15 0 0
Local Cess.		0 15- 0
		15-15- 0

i.e., in 1887 the portion of land which was in the enjoyment of Shamannah i.e, wet 1 acre 37 guntas, was sold in satisfaction of a civil court decree to one Basviah. From Basavian one Lingachari purchased the land, from whom Javare gowda husband of the --- petitioner Puttamma bought the land. In the year 1890 the question of paying Rs.3-5-8 to the temple cropped up as the holders refused to pay it on the ground that the assessment had been raised. They were informed that the payment of Rs.3-5-8 to the temple had nothing to do with the enhancement of assessment and that if the holders were not prepared to pay it they may relinquish the land and arrangements would be made to lease it out to others. The land has not been --- relinquished nor are the temple dues being paid till now. This sum of Rs.3-5-8 due to the temple was divided between the two holders -- Javaregowda and Kannapan Tirunarain Iyengar proportionately to the Kandayam paid by them. Rs.2-2-0 fell to the share of Javare Gowda and Rs.1-3-6 to the share of Tirunarain Iyengar.

Before the introduction of Survey and Settlement, the temple collected the sum of Rs.10-14-8 and retained Rs.3-5-8 due to itself and paid the remainder viz. 7-9-1 as kandayam. After the introduction of the survey and settlement however this procedure was ------ discontinued and the shanbhogue began to collect and remit the money direct to the Treasury. It is reported that all this confusion -- arose out of this.

Javaregowda has been regularly paying the kandayam due on his share since 1889, the year in which survey and settlement was introduced. He has however discontinued paying his share of the dues to the temple since 1889. These dues amount to Rs.57-6-0. This --- amount has to be paid. The refusal to pay the temple dues on the plea that the kandayam has been raised cannot be justified.

4. Thus it may be seen that a sum of Rs.57-6-0 for 27 years from 1890 is due from Javaregowda and it is this viz.57-6-0 that is being

demanded

demanded of Puttammah, wife of Javaré Gowda a
The entire file of papers is herewith submitted
herewith returned."

"Copy"

for Muzrai Commissioner 31/5/24

KSR.

Letter No.R.C.22/17-18 dated 1st April 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner,Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your docket No.A.Petn 148 dated 4-12-1917 calling for particulars re: the petition of Puttamma of Kadalageri village re the arrears of assessment demanded from her I havethe honour to state as follows:==

1. From the enclosed extract of the Melkote Temple 'From' Register for the year 1880-81 it is seen that the lease of the land to Shamanna which was temporary to begin with was made permanent by virtue of orderNo.1055/359 dated 4-12-1880 issued by the Muzrai Officer directing the grant of the lands to him fo permanent Kandayam fixed at the prevailing wet and dry rates in the neighbourhood. In accordance with this order,saguvalichit was issued to Shamanna but no separate lease deed seems to have been executed by him in favour of the temple.

2. To provide for the possibility of subsequent fluctuations in the assessment of the land, does not seem to have occured at the time of confirming the land to Shamanna for permanent assessme and as such this was not contemplated in the correspondence that ensued on this behalf.

3. As already mentioned no lease bond seems to have been executed by Shamanna. Beyond granting the land for permanent kadayam the question of alienation of the property by sale or mortgage &c does not seem to have arisen at the time. As the correspondence on the subject is silent on the point, it should be presumed that the lessee had no right of alienation of the land.

4. From the enclosed copy of the sale certificate it will be gathered that it was the occupancy right that was sold, probably, not knowing that the land was the property belonging to the temple

5. With regard to item No.5 it has been reported that neither the temple authorities, not the taluk office were aware of the execution of the Civil Court decree and that no communication was received by either of these in the matter.

6. It will be seen from the enclosed records that correspondence for the recovery of the temple dues started so far back as year 1893 and went on in subsequent years by fits and starts without any effective step in this direction.

The entire file of records ending with the Sub Division Officer's yadi No.R.O.C.166 dated 4-9-23 is herewith sent for reference.

" C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

BK 2/5/24

M.A.N.

Mm 41

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 136

Date of issue _____

Signed by _____

To

The Inam Supdt.

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to forward for reference, an extract from the Inam register pertaining to the resumption of S. no 177 (Maji no. 160 assessed at ~~Rupees~~ 4-9-1)

in Kadalgeri village
in the Fr. Roers Sub-
dalus said to have been
a endowed as inam to
Sri Narayana Swami
temple at Inchkole
I have de.

19.7.24.

Rev. Secretary.

17.7.24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore ..... 28th July ....1924 .

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent of Revenue Survey and
Settlement in Mysore, Bangalore.

TO

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

SIR,

As desired in your letter No. 136/Muz. 294-23-2 dated 22nd July 24, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the Resumption of Maji S. No.160 in Kadalgere Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk, endowed to Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. Srinivasa Iyengar
Superintendent.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 056J—GPB—2 500—27 7 22

Register Sheet No. 282

Taluk - Attibelle. (Krishnarajpet).

Hobli - Melkote

Village - Kadakarapura

Copy of Register of Inams in the village of Kadalagere — in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent — Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent — Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
Resumed Register No. 259			Taluk Patwari account of 1855	
7	Flower Garden	Dry No 160	K. C. P 0 — 5 — 0	—

Sammat of Melkote in the Taluk of Nagamangala (Krishnarajpet).

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, i. e, jodi, &c., amount o latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
		For the garden of Sri Narayan Devara temple at Melkote The garden is in good condition	—

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11
	—

of Dungove.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonnish up to 1810	Name entered in the account subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
—	—	T. O. Account of 1855 Mekkle Nuna Swami House Garden. K. C P O. 5—c.

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Mel Kote Narasa Swami	—	—	—

Nion

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Complete grant - In - valid under the Rules To be resumed and fully assessed. (Sd) D. Narasimha Rao 20/2/79.	1. Resumed. (Sd) R. A. Cole Supdt of D.S. 26/2/79. "Copy" D. Srinivasa Superintendent.

Muz 4].

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

No

Date of issue _____

To

The Muz. Comr

Signed by

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No C. 1083/23-24 dt 2nd May 24 soliciting orders in the matter of the recovery of arrears of Sulli due from S. No 179 known as "Arecanut garden" in Kadalgeri Village, Francis Rocks Sub. Taluk endowed to Sri Narayana Swami temple at [illegible]

permanently leased out in
1880 to one Thimmakkahalli
Shamanna by the Dy. Co.
Inspl. officer, I am
directed to state that as
~~the L & L. Secretary to Govt.~~
~~who has committed in the~~
~~matter, is of opinion~~
~~that~~ the Dy. Insp. Officer
had no power to lease
out the land permanently
~~and~~ that a suit may be
~~therefore~~ filed for the recovery of the lands and
eviction of the present
occupant ~~of the land~~ from it.
I am accordingly to
~~request you to enquire whether a suit~~
~~should not be filed~~
~~for the recovery of~~
~~the land in question~~
~~and whether~~ the expenses

List of enclosures.

request you to favour me
with your opinion in the matter
and to inform whether
if a suit is to be filed

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

[illegible] of the suit can be met from the funds of the instn

(2) the favour of a very early reply is requested.

(3) the accompanying memo for reference & necessary action I have etc.

(Illegible) Rev. Secretary

No. C1083/23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
encs two files
Dated Bangalore, 26th November 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.





To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Muz 294-23-3

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.658/Muz.294-23-3 dated the 7th instant, in the matter of filing a suit for the recovery of S.No. 177 known as "Ane Garden" in Kadalgere village, French-rocks Sub Taluk endowed to the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote wrongly given away on permanent lease by the District Muzrai Officer, I have the honor to state that the land which is an assessed one, endowed to the temple has been leased out permanently by the officer who had no such power and in this circumstance it is desirable to file a suit as proposed, for the recovery of the land along with such of the arrears of land realizations claimable and to evict the present occupant from the -- land. The cost may be borne by the institution which according to - Comptroller's letter No.433/TADB dated 18th instant, had a sum of Rs. 1426-12-10 at its credit on 30th June 1924.

I request the favour of early sanction of Government for filing the suit after consulting the Government Advocate.

The records received with your letter under reference are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

KSR.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... ....

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.

File No. 294-23.

Serial No. 5.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

No. 974
two files

Date of issue 7-1-26

Signed by Jm. T-R.

To

The Muz. Comr.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. C. 1083 dy 26-12-25 in the matter of the revenue of S. no. 147 known as "Arecanut garden" in Kadaggeri Village, Yr. Rocks Sub Taluk endowed to Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote I am directed to request

over.

7/1

7/1/26

that you have be so good as to take necessary action for filing a suit as proposed by you for the recovery of the said land along with the arrears claimable ~~and to add that the~~ the charges for filing the suit and conducting it, ~~being~~ being met from the funds at the credit of the estate. Records returned herewith

I have etc.

5.1.25

By Dy. Rev. Secretary.

Copy to the Comptr, Mysore for.

975 7.1.25

By

5.1.25

By Rev. Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

By

5.1.25

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22